Paper #16 IFW CODE TERM PTO LET

•

.

.



N 2 1 2005

Commissioner for Patents United States Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Office of Regulatory Policy HFD - 13 5600 Fishers Lane, Rockville, MD 20857

Attention: Claudia Grillo

Dear Ms. Axelrad:

The attached application for patent term extension of U.S. Patent No. 5,656,667 was filed on January 7, 2005, under 35 U.S.C. § 156.

The assistance of your Office is requested in confirming that the product identified in the application, OMACOR® (EPA ethyl ester and DHA ethyl ester) has been subject to a regulatory review period within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. § 156(g) before its first approved commercial marketing or use. In this regard, please note the argument, on page 15 of the application, that "salt forms are included under the definition of a 'product' under 35 U.S.C. § 156(f)" (citing Pfizer Inc. v. Dr. Reddy Labs., Ltd., 359 F.3d 1364-66, 69 USPQ2d 2016, 2018-2019 (Fed. Cir. 2004)). Applicant is understood to be arguing that the approved product is not the specific ester(s) approved, but includes the active moiety. Although applicant's interpretation of Pfizer is not shared by the undersigned (Pfizer is understood to have concluded that the approved product was amlodipine, not a specific salt of amlodipine, and to be limited to the facts therein), if applicant's interpretation is correct, then a prior approval of any drug product in the same active moiety under the same section of the Federal Food Drug and Cosmetic Act would bar patent term extension based upon a subsequent regulatory review of a drug product in that active moiety. See 35 U.S.C. 156(a)(5)(A). Accordingly, the assistance of your Office is requested in determining whether any product in the same active moiety of OMACOR® (EPA ethyl ester and DHE ethyl ester) has been subject to a regulatory review period within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. § 156(g) before the approval of OMACOR® (EPA ethyl ester and DHE ethyl ester). In addition, the assistance of your Office is requesting in confirming that the application for patent term extension was filed within the sixty-day period after the product was approved. Since a determination has not been made whether the patent in question claims a product which has been subject to the Federal Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act, or a method of manufacturing or use of such a product, this communication is NOT to be considered as notice which may be made in the future pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 156(d)(2)(A).

Our review of the application to date indicates that the subject patent would be eligible for extension of the patent term under 35 U.S.C. § 156.

Inquiries regarding this communication should be directed to the undersigned at (571) 272-7744 (telephone) or (571)273-7744 (facsimile).

Karin Ferriter

Senior Legal Advisor

Ka- Hent

Office of Patent Legal Administration
Office of the Deputy Commissioner

for Patent Examination Policy

cc:

Teresa Stanek Rea

Burns, Doane, Swecker & Mathis

PO Box 1404

Alexandria VA 22313-1404